Received 27 February 2006

Accepted 9 March 2006

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

## Xiang-Pei Zhang,<sup>a</sup> Guang Yang<sup>a</sup> and Seik Weng Ng<sup>b</sup>\*

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou 450052, People's Republic of China, and <sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

Correspondence e-mail: seikweng@um.edu.my

### Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 295 K Mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.002 Å R factor = 0.022 wR factor = 0.066 Data-to-parameter ratio = 9.6

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

# Pentaaqua(pyridine-3,5-dicarboxylato-κN)nickel(II) dihydrate

In the title compound,  $[Ni(C_7H_3NO_4)(H_2O)_5]\cdot 2H_2O$ , the pyridine-3,5-dicarboxylate dianion bonds through its N atom to Ni, the octahedral geometry of the latter being completed by five water molecules. Adjacent complex molecules are linked through the two non-coordinated water molecules, forming a three-dimensional, tightly held, hydrogen-bonded network.

#### Comment

Two metal derivatives of 3,5-pyridinedicarboxylic acid have been crystallographically characterized, namely the diaquacopper(II) complex (Lu & Schauss, 2001) and the isostructural cobalt(II) analog (Whitfield *et al.*, 2001). In these compounds, the dianion binds to the metal through both the pyridyl N atom and the carboxylate O atoms, leading to the formation of a layer motif. The title nickel derivative, (I), exists as a pentaaqua dihydrate in which the dianion binds to the metal only through its N atom (Table 1, Fig. 1).



Of the two negatively charged  $-CO_2$  units in the ligand, one (C7) displays statistically indistinguishable C–O distances (implying that the charge is delocalized). However, the



© 2006 International Union of Crystallography All rights reserved

# View of (I), showing 50% displacement ellipsoids (arbitrary spheres for the H atoms). Dashed lines indicate hydrogen bonds.

# metal-organic papers

different C–O distances in the other (C8) imply a localizedcharge carboxylate unit. In this unit, the O atom of the shorter C–O bond accepts two O–H···O hydrogen bonds whereas the O atom of the longer C–O bond accepts three (Table 2). Overall, the hydrogen bonding in (I) gives rise to the formation of a tightly held, three-dimensional network.

### Experimental

An aqueous solution (5 ml) of nickel(II) chloride hexahydrate (12 mg, 0.05 mmol) was mixed with a warm ethanol solution (5 ml) of 3,5-pyridinedicarboxylic acid (8 mg, 0.05 mmol). The solution was set aside for several days and light-green crystals of (I) were obtained in about 20% yield.

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation

reflections

 $\theta = 3.1 - 27.5^{\circ}$ 

 $\mu = 1.57~\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ 

T = 295 (2) K

Block, green

Cell parameters from 923

 $0.18 \times 0.09 \times 0.07 \text{ mm}$ 

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.0493P)^2$ 

where  $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ 

Absolute structure: Flack (1983),

+ 0.0644P]

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.50~{\rm e}~{\rm \AA}^{-3}$ 

797 Friedel pairs

Flack parameter: 0.03 (1)

 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} = 0.001$  $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.25 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ 

#### Crystal data

 $[Ni(C_7H_3NO_4)(H_2O)_5]\cdot 2H_2O$   $M_r = 349.93$ Orthorhombic,  $Pna2_1$  a = 15.743 (1) Å b = 11.697 (1) Å c = 6.995 (1) Å V = 1288.0 (3) Å<sup>3</sup> Z = 4 $D_x = 1.805$  Mg m<sup>-3</sup>

#### Data collection

Bruker SMART 1000 CCD	2296 independent reflections
diffractometer	2157 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
$\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans	$R_{\rm int} = 0.018$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$\theta_{\rm max} = 27.0^{\circ}$
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)	$h = -18 \rightarrow 19$
$T_{\min} = 0.778, T_{\max} = 0.898$	$k = -14 \rightarrow 14$
7706 measured reflections	$l = -5 \rightarrow 8$

#### Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.022$
$wR(F^2) = 0.066$
S = 1.06
2296 reflections
238 parameters
H atoms treated by a mixture of
independent and constrained
refinement

### Table 1

Selected bond lengths (Å).

Ni1-O1w	2.073 (2)	Ni1-N1	2.101 (2)
Ni1 - O2w	2.042 (2)	C6-O1	1.247 (2)
Ni1 - O3w	2.102 (2)	C6-O2	1.250 (2)
Ni1 - O4w	2.016 (2)	C7-O3	1.238 (2)
Ni1 - O5w	2.077 (2)	C7-O4	1.263 (2)

# Table 2 Hydrogen-bond geom

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
$O1w - H1w1 \cdots O1^{i}$	0.85(1)	1.96 (1)	2.807 (2)	172 (4)
$O1w - H1w2 \cdots O4^{ii}$	0.85 (1)	2.01(1)	2.851 (2)	174 (4)
$O2w - H2w1 \cdots O4^{iii}$	0.85(1)	1.88 (1)	2.717 (2)	165 (3)
$O2w - H2w2 \cdots O7w^{iv}$	0.84(1)	1.96 (1)	2.766 (3)	161 (2)
$O3w - H3w1 \cdots O2^{i}$	0.86(1)	1.80 (1)	2.657 (2)	174 (2)
$O3w - H3w2 \cdots O4^{v}$	0.86 (1)	2.12 (2)	2.902 (3)	150(2)
$O4w - H4w1 \cdots O3^{ii}$	0.84(1)	1.89 (1)	2.697 (2)	160 (3)
$O4w - H4w2 \cdots O6w^{vi}$	0.83 (1)	1.91 (1)	2.733 (3)	168 (2)
$O5w - H5w1 \cdots O1^{vii}$	0.85(1)	1.88 (1)	2.722 (2)	171 (3)
$O5w - H5w2 \cdots O6w^{iv}$	0.84(1)	1.90 (2)	2.711 (3)	160 (3)
O6w−H6w1…O2	0.83 (1)	1.91 (2)	2.687 (2)	157 (3)
$O6w - H6w2 \cdots O7w$	0.84(1)	1.87 (1)	2.702 (2)	171 (4)
$O7w - H7w1 \cdots O3$	0.85 (1)	1.82 (1)	2.660(2)	174 (3)
$O7w - H7w2 \cdots O3w^{iv}$	0.85 (1)	2.31 (2)	2.982 (3)	136 (2)
Symmetry codes: (i) $x + \frac{1}{2}$	$y_{1}, -y + \frac{5}{2}, z;$ (ii)	$x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{3}{2},$	z; (iii) $-x + \frac{3}{2}, y$ $-x + 1, -y + \frac{3}{2}, y$	$z + \frac{1}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$ ; (iv) 2 $z + \frac{1}{2}$ (vii)

 $-x + \frac{3}{2}, y - \frac{1}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$ 

The arrangement of the complex molecules is pseudo-centrosymmetric. However, the non-coordinated water molecules cannot be successfully modelled in a higher symmetry space group. The carbon-bound H atoms were placed at calculated positions (C-H = 0.93 Å) and refined as riding, with  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$ . The oxygen-bound H atoms were located in difference maps and were refined with distance restraints of O-H = 0.85 (1) Å and H···H = 1.39 (1) Å; their  $U_{iso}$  values were freely refined.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2000); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2000); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ORTEPII* (Johnson, 1976) and *SHELXTL* (Bruker, 2000); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

We thank Professor R. G. Raptis and Dr H. Zhao of the University of Puerto Rico for the diffraction measurements. We also thank Zhengzhou University and the University of Malaya for supporting this study.

#### References

Bruker (2000). SAINT (Version 6.02a), SHELXTL and SMART (Version 5.054). Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.

- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPH. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Lu, J. Y. & Schauss, V. (2001). CrystEngComm, 3, 111-113.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.

Whitfield, T., Zheng, L.-M., Wang, X.-Q. & Jacobson, A. J. (2001). Solid State Sci. 3, 829–835.